

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,588	02/23/2004	Anthony L. Pratt	2920.003	3225	
26375 7:	590 11/02/2004		EXAMINER		
SINSHEIMER, SCHIEBELHUT, BAGGETT 1010 PEACH STREET			ALEXANDER, REGINALD		
SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93401			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/785,588	PRATT, ANTHONY L.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.			•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a) (d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	i priority under 33 0.3.C. §	115(a)-(u) 01 (1).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		olication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
application from the International Burea	·		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/785,588

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

Claims 1-28 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,715,404. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims are an obvious variation of the patented claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Melandri.

There is disclosed in Melandri a system for dispersing a fermenting liquid across a surface of body of the liquid, the system comprising: an inlet 5 having a central axis; at least one rotatable surface (see figure 2) for redirecting a flow of the fermenting liquid through the inlet from a substantially axial direction to a substantially radial direction; and at least one surface 10 for dispersing the flow of the fermenting liquid having been redirected both in a first region, relatively closer to the axis, and in a second region, relatively farther from the axis, as the flow of the fermenting liquid having been redirected falls by gravity in an axial direction.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,588

Art Unit: 1761

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Siddall et al.

There is disclosed in Siddall a sprinkler comprising: a nozzle 60; a support arm 61 having a first end attached to the nozzle; a plurality of vanes 73 forming a vane structure 64 rotatably attached to a second end of the support arm; and a conical shaped structure 66 attached to a center of a top planar surface of the vane structure.

In regards to the use of the sprinkler in a fermenting system, such a recitation is intended use and provides no structural limitations to the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18, 19 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Melandri in view of Siddall et al.

Melandri, as discussed above, discloses all of the claimed subject matter except sprinkler system having a plurality of rotatable vanes and a support arm for connecting the vanes to a nozzle.

Siddall discloses the use of a rotatable vane structure and support arm therefor to distribute a liquid. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute the sprinkler system of Melandri with the one disclosed in Siddall, in order to provide an alternative sprinkler which does not make use of excessive materials such as piping to distribute the liquid.

Art Unit: 1761

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-16 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Claims 22-24 and 26-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla November 1, 2004 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761